

BÖLÜM 9

TOPRAK REAKSİYONU

Ali Rıza DEMİRKİRAN¹

Bedriye BİLİR²

Toprak reaksiyonu (pH), toprak çözeltisinin asit, alkali veya nötr oluşunun bir ölçüsü olarak ifade edilmektedir (1). Toprak reaksiyonu tanımlanırken toprak pH'sı olarak ifade edilmekte olup “potential of H veya power of H” terimlerinden türetilmiştir (2). Toprak pH'sı, toprakta gerçekleşen biyokoekimyasal süreçler üzerinde muazzam bir etkiye sahiptir. Bu nedenle, toprak pH'sı bitki büyümesini ve biyokütle verimini etkileyen toprağın sayısız biyolojik (örn. mineralizasyon veya immobilizasyon), kimyasal (örn. adsorpsiyon veya desorpsiyon) ve fiziksel özellikleri (örn. flokülasyon veya deflokülasyon) ile süreçlerini etkileyen “ana toprak değişkeni” olarak tanımlanır (3, 4, 5). Essington (6) ise toprakta meydana gelen çeşitli kimyasal reaksiyonların, proton kullanımını veya salınımını içerdiğinden ve proton aktivitesinin birçok kimyasal süreci kontrol eden bir faktör olduğundan toprak çözeltisinin pH'sı ana kimyasal değişken olarak kabul edildiğini bildirmiştir.

Sulu bir çözeltinin pH'sı çözeltideki iyon konsantrasyonunun tersinin logaritması olarak tanımlanmaktadır (6, 7). Aynı zamanda pOH'da iyon aktivitesinin negatif logaritmasıdır (3). Çözeltideki H⁺ iyonlarının konsantrasyonu g L⁻¹ şeklinde ifade edilir (8).

¹ Prof. Dr., Bingöl Üniversitesi, Ziraat Fakültesi, Toprak Bilimi ve Bitki Besleme Bölümü, ademirkiran@bingol.edu.tr, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-0086-0137

² Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam Üniversitesi, Ziraat Fakültesi, Toprak Bilimi ve Bitki Besleme Bölümü, bbilir@ksu.edu.tr, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-0038-9509

DOI: xxxx

şimine neden olur. Tipik olarak, kökler kalın ve bodur hale gelirken ince köklerin ise gelişimi çok az olur (46). Bhuyan ve ark., (48) toprakların pH'sı düştükçe proton (H^+) rizotoksitesisi, çeşitli bitkilerde kök büyümesini durdurduğunu ve besin bulunabilirliğini azaltarak, plazma membran H^+ -ATPaz aktivitesini ve metabolik süreci bozarak, reaktif oksijen türleri (ROS) üretilip, antioksidan savunma sistemini altüst ettiğini ve toksik etki gösterdiğini belirtmişlerdir. Dış büyüme ortamındaki H^+ iyonlarının yüksek aktivitesi, hücrenin sitoplazmik pH'ı koruma yeteneğini aşar ve bitkilerin normal büyümesini durdurur. Bitki yetiştirme ortamındaki asidik koşulların ayrıca bitkinin su alımını da bozduğu bildirilmiştir (48).

KAYNAKLAR

1. McCauley A, Jones C, Jacobsen J. Soil pH and organic matter. *Nutrient management module*. 2009;8(2):1-12.
2. Erdal İ. Bitkilerin Mineral Beslenmesini Etkileyen Bazı Faktörler. Atılğan A, Büyüktaş K, Salıtk B (Ed.) *Biyosistem Mühendisliği-I* içinde. Ankara: Akademisyen Kitabevi; 2021. p. 151-166.
3. Bolan NS, Kandaswamy K. pH. Hillel D, Rosenzweig C, Powlson D, Scow K, Singer M, Sparks D (Ed.). *Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment*. New York: Academic Press; 2004. p. 196-202.
4. Neina D. The role of soil pH in plant nutrition and soil remediation. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*. 2019;(1): 5794869.
5. Penn CJ, Camberto JJ. A critical review on soil chemical processes that control how soil pH affects phosphorus availability to plants. *Agriculture*. 2019; 9: 120-138.
6. Essington ME. *Soil and water chemistry: an integrative approach*. 2 nd ed. New York: London; 2015. p. 537-563.
7. DiBartola SP. Introduction to acid-base disorders. *Fluid, electrolyte, and acid-base disorders in small animal practice*. 4th ed. 2012. p. 231-252.
8. Chaudhary BS. Soil reaction and its influence on availability of plants nutrients, *Just Agriculture*. 2021; 1(12): 1-6.
9. Havlin JL, Beaton JD, Tisdale SL, et. al. Soil fertility and fertilizers: an introduction to nutrient management. 2017.p. 529.
10. Singh S, Latore A.. Determination of soil reaction (pH), soluble salts (EC) and redox potential (Eh) of soil. *Soil Analysis*. Singh SK, Biswas DR, Srinivasamurthy AC, Datta PS, Jayasree G., Jha P, Sharma KS, Katkar NR, Raverkar PK, Ghosh KA (Eds). 2019; pp. 654.
11. Sparks DL, Singh B, Siebecker MG. *Environmental Soil Chemistry*. Elsevier Press; 2022.
12. Vorob'Eva LA, Avdon'Kin AA. Potential soil acidity: notions and parameters. *Eurasian Soil Science*. 2006. 39: 377-386.
13. Stiles WC. Soil analysis and interpretation. *NY Fruit Q*. 2004. 12, 5-8.
14. Dvorackova H, Dvoracek J, Hueso Gonzalez P, Vlcek V. Effect of different soil amendments on soil buffering capacity. *PLoS one*. 2022. 17(2):e0263456.
15. Nelson PN, Su N. Soil pH buffering capacity: a descriptive function and its application to some acidic tropical soils. *Soil Research*. 2010. 48(3), 201-207.
16. Curtin D, Trolove S. Predicting pH buffering capacity of New Zealand soils from organic matter content and mineral characteristics. *Soil Research*. 2013. 51(6): 494-502.
17. Weaver AR, Kissel DE, Chen F, et. al. Mapping soil pH buffering capacity of selected fields in the coastal plain. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 2004. 68(2): 662-668.
18. Bolan NS, Curtin D, Adriano DC. Acidity. In *Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment*. Elsevier Hanley and Belfus Inc, 2005. pp.11-17.

19. Havlin JL. Fertility. In: D. Hillel (Ed.) *Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment*. Oxford: Elsevier Ltd., 2004 pp. 10–19.
20. Xu RK, Zhao AZ, Yuan JH, et. al. pH buffering capacity of acid soils from tropical and sub tropical regions of China as influenced by incorporation of crop straw biochars. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*. 2012. 12(4): 494–502.
21. Latifah O, Ahmed OH, Majid NMA. Soil pH buffering capacity and nitrogen availability following compost application in a tropical acid soil. *Compost Science & Utilization*. 2018. 26(1):1-15.
22. Barrow NJ, Hartemink AE. The effects of pH on nutrient availability depend on both soils and plants. *Plant and Soil*. 2023. 487(1):21-37.
23. Rengel Z. Soil pH, soil health and climate change. In *Soil health and climate change*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 2011. pp. 69-85.
24. Jones Jr JB. Soil testing and plant analysis: guides to the fertilization of horticultural crops. *Horticultural reviews*. 2011.
25. Miller RO, Kissel DE. Comparison of soil pH methods on soils of North America. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 2010. 74(1): 310-316.
26. Sağlam T.M. *Toprak ve Suyun Kimyasal Analiz Yöntemleri*. Namık Kemal Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi; 2012.
27. Sparks DL. Environmental soil chemistry: An overview. *Environmental Soil Chemistry*. 2003. 2:1-42.
28. Clark RB. Plant genotype differences in the uptake, translocation, accumulation, and use of mineral elements required for plant growth. *Plant and Soil*. 1983. 72(2–3): 175–196.
29. Marek RS, Richardson JB. Investigating surficial geo logic controls on soil properties, inorganic nutrient uptake, and northern hardwood growth in Western Massachusetts, USA. *Journal Soil Science Plant Nutrition*. 2020. 20(3): 901–911.
30. Dhaliwal SS, Sharma V, Shukla AK. Chapter one – impact of micronutrients in mitigation of abiotic stresses in soils and plants—A progressive step toward crop security and nutritional quality. In: Sparks DL (ed) *Advances in Agronomy*. Academic, 2022. pp 1–78.
31. Barrow NJ. The effects of pH on phosphate uptake from the soil. *Plant and Soil*. 2017. 410(1): 401–410.
32. Hartemink AE, Barrow NJ. Soil pH-nutrient relationships: the diagram. *Plant and Soil*. 2023. 486(1): 209-215.
33. Khabaz-Saberi H, Rengel Z, Wilson R, Setter TL. Variation for tolerance to high concentration of ferrous iron (Fe²⁺) in Australian hexaploid wheat. *Euphytica* (2010a) 172:275–283.
34. Khabaz-Saberi H, Rengel Z, Wilson R, Setter TL. Variation of tolerance to manganese toxicity in Australian hexaploid wheat. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* (2010b). 173:103–112.
35. Rengel Z. Role of pH in availability of ions in soil. In: Rengel Z (ed) *Handbook Of Plant Growth. pH as a master variable in plant growth*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 2002. pp. 323–350.
36. Rengel Z. *Handbook of Soil Acidity*. CRC Press; 2003.
37. Meng S, Zhang CX, Su L, Li YM, Cao Y, Zhao Z. Distinct effect of pH on N uptake and assimilation in two conifer species. *Trees*. 2016. 30: 1607-1618.
38. Kyvergya PM, Blackmer AM., Ellsworth JW, et. al. Soil pH effects on nitrification of fall applied anhydrous ammonia. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 2004. 68(2), 545-551.
39. Khalil MI, Hossain, MB, Schmidhalter U. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization in different upland soils of the subtropics treated with organic materials. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2005. 37 (8),1507–1518.
40. Oswalt C. The Effect of Soil pH on Nutrient Availability. Citrus Industry. 2024. <https://citrusindustry.net/2024/04/22/effect-soil-ph-nutrient-availability/>.
41. Mwende Muindi E. Understanding soil phosphorus. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2019. 31(2): 1-18.

42. Barrow, NJ, Debnath A, Sen A. Measurement of the effects of pH on phosphate availability. *Plant and Soil*, 2020. 454:217–224.
43. Duputel M, Devau N, Brossard M, et. al. Citrate adsorption can decrease soluble phosphate concentration in soils: Results of theoretical modeling. *Applied Geochemistry*. 2013.35:120-131.
44. Brady CN, Weil RR. The Nature and Properties of Soils, 14th Ed; Pearson Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 2008. p. 975.
45. Shen J, Yuan L, Zhang J, et. al. Phosphorus dynamics: From soil to plant. *Plant Physio*. 2011. 156(3): 997-1005.
46. Miles N, Farina M. 2013. Soil acidity and its management in crop production. *Grain SA*. URL: <https://www.grainsa.co.za/soil-acidity-and-its-management-in-crop-production> (Accessed 1 June 2020).
47. Jackson K, Meetei TT. Influence of soil pH on nutrient availability: A Review. *Journal Emerging. Technologies and Innovative Research*, 2018. 5(12): 707-713.
48. Borhannuddin Bhuyan MHM, Hasanuzzaman M, Nahar K, et. al. Plants behavior under soil acidity stress: insight into morphophysiological, biochemical, and molecular responses. *Plant Abiotic Stress Tolerance: Agronomic, Molecular and Biotechnological Approaches*, 2019. 35-82.
49. Heuwinkel H, Kirkby EA, le Bot J, Marschner H. Phosphorus deficiency enhances molybdenum uptake by tomato plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. 1992. 15:549–568.